Short Communication

Solvent effect on charge transfer emission from pyrene–DMA and pyrene–DEA complexes

DEBASISH GUPTA and SADHAN BASU Department of Pure Chemistry, University College of Science, Calcutta-9 (India) (Received February 7, 1975)

The long wavelength emission observed from pyrene-dimethylaniline (DMA) and pyrene-diethylaniline (DEA) system in n-hexane has been attributed to the charge transfer complex formation in which an electron is transferred from N-alkyl aniline to the excited pyrene molecule [1]. The excited state wave function may be written as $\Psi \approx a\psi(\mathbf{F}.\mathbf{A})^* + b\psi(\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{A}^*)$. This means that the excited state will be polar and will be stabilized by solvent-solute interaction, the stabilizing energy decreasing with increase in dielectric constant of the medium. Consequently, the charge transfer emission should show a forward shift with increasing dielectric constant of the solvent. To test this contention charge transfer emission spectra have been recorded for pyrene–DMA and pyrene–DEA system in different solvents. The peak position for 10^{-4} M pyrene and 10^{-1} M DMA (DEA) in different solvents were: cyclohexane, $22,727 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (23,255 cm⁻¹); chloroform, 20,833 (21,276 cm⁻¹); butyl acetate, 20,619 (21,279 cm⁻¹); t-butyl alcohol, 20,408 ($20,408 \text{ cm}^{-1}$); n-butyl alcohol, 19,802 ($20,202 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Following the procedure outlined in a previous communication [2] the shift in the emission spectra $(\Delta \nu)$ may be correlated with the excited state dipole moment μ_e by the relation:

$$\Delta \nu = \frac{\mu_{e}^{2}}{a^{3}} \left(\frac{\epsilon - 1}{\epsilon + 2} - \frac{n^{2} - 1}{n^{2} + 2} \right)$$

where a is Onsager's cavity radius and n and ϵ are solvent refractive index and dielectric constant respectively. The plot of $\Delta \nu vs. [(\epsilon - 1)/(\epsilon + 2) - (n^2 - 1)/(n^2 + 2)]$ was fairly linear (Fig. 1), from the slope of which μ_e was estimated to be 12.4 D (a was taken as the sum of molecular radius of the constituent molecules). Since completely charge separated state has a $\mu = 15$ D, it may be estimated that the charge transfer state makes about 81% contribution to the complex formation. This may be compared with only 16% charge-transfer contribution in the case of eximer formation in pyrene.

Similar results have been obtained with anthracene-DMA and anthracene-DEA systems. The excited state dipole moment was 11.8 D and the charge transfer contribution was 77%.



Fig. 1. Solvent shift on long wave emission from pyrene–DMA and pyrene–DEA system. Fig. 2. Depolarization factor for pyrene–DMA system in polystyrene.

Since charge-transfer emission from pyrene and anthracene has been observed only with aromatic N-alkyl amines, it has been surmised that the π -orbitals of the aromatic amines are involved in the complex formation [1]. If we assume for charge-transfer complex a structure similar to pyrene eximer, then the emission oscillators from pyrene monomer and pyrene-DMA complex should be perpendicular to each other. The depolarization factor ρ in rigid glass medium should pass from a positive value for the monomer emission to a negative value for charge-transfer emission [3]. The results of o measurements in 22% polystyrene in cyclohexane containing 10^{-4} M pyrene and 10^{-2} M DMA are shown graphically in Fig. 2. It may be observed that on passing from monomer to charge transfer band ρ goes to zero from a positive value and then rises again to a positive value. This according to Perrin–Jablonski [3] means that the two oscillators are not mutually perpendicular as is the case with eximers. The angle between these two oscillators estimated by Perrin–Jablonski relation using ρ values for chargetransfer state was found to be 36° [3], which means that the donor π -orbital centre is not lying on the six fold axis of symmetry of the pyrene molecule. That is, the complex must have an off-centered structure.

- 1 N. Mataga, K. Ezumi and T. Okada, Mol. Phys., 10 (1965/66) 201.
- 2 A. S. Ghosh and S. Basu, J. Photochem., 3 (1974) 247.
- 3 A. S. Ghosh, D. Gupta and S. Basu, J. Photochem., in press.